IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI JACKSON DIVISION

ERIC TREMAINE WILLIAMS, #46589

PLAINTIFF

VERSUS

CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:07-cv-409-HTW-LRA

MARK SHEPPARD, et al.

DEFENDANTS

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER DISMISSING THE PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

Plaintiff filed this complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and requested *in forma* pauperis status. On August 24, 2007, this Court entered an order [10] directing the Plaintiff to file a written response, within twenty days, providing specific information regarding his claims. The Plaintiff was warned that his failure to timely comply with a court order may result in the dismissal of this case. On August 29, 2007, Plaintiff submitted his response, without a signature. The pleading was filed the same day as an attachment [12] to his complaint.

On October 12, 2007, this Court entered an order [14] directing the Clerk to mail the Plaintiff a copy of his response and the Plaintiff was directed to sign the response and return it to the Court, within twenty days. The Plaintiff has failed to comply with this order. The Plaintiff was warned that his failure to timely comply with a court order will result in the dismissal of this case.

On January 2, 2008, an order [17] was entered directing the Plaintiff to show cause, within ten days, why this case should not be dismissed for his failure to comply with the Court's October 12, 2007 order. In addition, Plaintiff was directed to comply with the

Court's order by filing his signed response, within ten days. The show cause order warned Plaintiff that failure to timely comply with the requirements of the order would lead to the dismissal of his complaint, without further notice. Plaintiff did not comply with the Court's show cause order.

On January 30, 2008, a final order [18] to show cause was entered. Plaintiff was directed to show cause, within ten days, why this case should not be dismissed for his failure to comply with the Court's orders of October 12, 2007 and January 2, 2008. In addition, Plaintiff was directed to comply with the Court's orders of October 12, 2007 and January 2, 2008, by filing his signed response, within ten days. This final show cause order also warned Plaintiff that failure to timely comply with the requirements of the order would lead to the dismissal of his complaint, without further notice. Plaintiff has not complied with the Court's final show cause order.

Plaintiff has failed to comply with three Court orders. This Court has the authority to dismiss an action for failure to prosecute and failure to comply with court orders under Rule 41(b) of the FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE and under its inherent authority to dismiss the action *sua sponte*. *See Link v. Wabash Railroad*, 370 U.S. 626 (1962); *Larson v. Scott*, 157 F.3d 1030 (5th Cir.1998); *McCullough v. Lynaugh*, 835 F.2d 1126 (5th Cir. 1988). The Court must be able to clear its calendars of cases that remain dormant because of the inaction or dilatoriness of the parties seeking relief, so as to achieve the orderly and expeditious disposition of cases. *Link*, 370 U.S. at 630. Such a "sanction is necessary in order to prevent undue delays in the disposition of pending cases and to avoid congestion in the calendars" of

the Court. Id. at 629-30.

The Court concludes that dismissal of this action for Plaintiff's failure to prosecute and failure to comply with the orders of the Court under Rule 41(b) of the FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE is proper. Since the defendants have not been called on to respond to Plaintiff's pleading, and the Court has not considered the merits of Plaintiff's claims, the Court's order of dismissal is without prejudice. *See Munday/Elkins Automotive Partners*, *LTD. v. Smith*, No. 05-31009, 2006 WL 2852389, at *2 (5th Cir. Oct. 2, 2006).

A Final Judgment in accordance with this Memorandum Opinion and Order will be entered.

SO ORDERED this the 2nd day of March, 2008.

s/ HENRY T. WINGATE CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Civil Action No. 3:07-cv-409 HTW-LRA Memorandum Opinion and Order Dismissing the Plaintiff's Complaint